## SIMULATION EXERCISES WITH SONNET

Dr. J. E. Rayas-Sánchez

## Lossless Microstrip Line



Create a Sonnet project using the following setup:
Parameters related to Sonnet box:
$y_{\text {gap }}=2 W=4.9 \mathrm{~mm} \approx 6.2 \mathrm{H}$
$H_{\text {air }}=5 H=3.97 \mathrm{~mm}$

Bottom and top cover: lossless metal.
Initial resolution (cell sizes):
$C_{x}=W / 2=1.225 \mathrm{~mm}$
$C_{y}=W / 2=1.225 \mathrm{~mm}$
Initial length $L=22 C_{x}=11 \mathrm{~W}=26.95 \mathrm{~mm}$ (approximating the actual length with the selected resolution).

Sonnet box size: $L$ by ( $W+2 y_{\text {gap }}$ )


## Sonnet geometry:



Sonnet setup:


Estimate memory and box resonances:
Estimated memory: ..... 2 MB
Subsection total: ..... 68

No box resonances predicted below or within the specified frequency sweep.

## Timing Info:

Post-Analysis:
Total time for 11 frequencies: 1 second.

## Errors/Warnings

Sonnet Warning EG2530:
Potential problem with box parameter. The Y cell size $(1.225 \mathrm{~mm})$ is greater than $1 / 20$ wavelength ( 1.18503 mm ) at 10 GHz . You must decrease the cell size or reduce the required number of subsections per wavelength to resolve this conflict.

Post-Analysis:
Errors detected: 0 Warnings detected: 2.

Sonnet responses:



Increasing resolution (decreasing cell sizes):
$C_{x}=W / 4=0.6125 \mathrm{~mm}$
$C_{y}=W / 4=0.6125 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Timing Info:

Post-Analysis:
Total time for 11 frequencies: 3 seconds.
Sonnet responses:


Estimate memory:
Estimated memory: 3 MB
Subsection total: 312
Errors/Warnings
Post-Analysis:
Errors detected: 0 Warnings detected: 0.


It is seen that by increasing the resolution, the level of reflection $\left|\mathrm{S}_{11}\right|$ becomes closer to zero, and the level of transmission $\left|\mathrm{S}_{21}\right|$ gets closer to one, as expected.

For very accurate results in Sonnet, it is more important to have small cell size the transversal direction $\left(C_{y}\right)$ than in the longitudinal direction $\left(C_{x}\right)$. This means that we can use a very small $C_{y}$, and a $C_{x}=k C_{y}$, with $k>1$. This allows having accurate results with lower computational cost.
For this microstrip line, a better way to define resolution would be:
$C_{y}=W / 4=0.6125 \mathrm{~mm}$
$C_{x}=L / \operatorname{round}\left(L /\left(1.5 C_{y}\right)\right)=0.906666667 \mathrm{~mm}$
This value of $C_{X}$ allows implementing $L$ with its exact value:



Timing Info:
Post-Analysis:
Total time for 11 frequencies: 2 seconds.
Sonnet responses:


Estimate memory:
Estimated memory: 3 MB

Subsection total: 214

## Errors/Warnings

Post-Analysis:
Errors detected: 0 Warnings detected: 0.
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