

Circuit Design using Classical Optimization Methods

Dr. José Ernesto Rayas-Sánchez

1

Outline

- Nominal circuit design optimization
- Design parameters and optimization variables
- Independent variables
- Optimizable responses
- A general formulation to circuit design optimization
- Objective functions
- Minimax optimization to circuit design
- Constrained formulation to design optimization

Nominal Design Optimization

For design optimization it is generally assumed that

- The topology of the circuit and the component types are already selected by the designer and are fixed
- There is already available a reasonable starting point

Additionally, for nominal design it is assumed that

- The design parameters are not subject to statistical fluctuations, i.e., manufacturing tolerances are neglected

Design Parameters and Optimization Variables

- Not all the available design parameters in a circuit should be selected as optimization variables
- In practice, many of the available parameters in a circuit are considered fixed or pre-assigned
- In practice, the optimization variables are restricted to a region X of valid design parameter values
- $\mathbf{x} \in X \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^n$ represent the n optimization variables of the electronic circuit to be optimized
- $\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ represent the m pre-assigned parameters of the electronic circuit (usually fixed)

Independent Variables

- Usually there is a number of independent variables in the circuit to be optimized
- Examples: frequency, time, bias voltages, etc.
- These independent variables define the region of operation of the electronic circuit
- Vector $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ contains all the independent variables

Optimizable Responses

- Circuit responses are typically obtained from an analytical model (Matlab, Excel, Mathcad, etc.) or from a CAD tool (circuit simulator, electromagnetic simulator, multi-physics simulator, etc.)
- The optimizable circuit responses are denoted by $\mathbf{R} \in \mathfrak{R}^r$ where r is the number of responses to be optimized
- In general, \mathbf{R} depends on the optimization variables, the pre-assigned parameters, and the independent variables,

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\psi})$$

- From the optimization perspective, the responses of interest can be treated as a multidimensional vector function, $\mathbf{R} : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^r$

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})$$

A General Formulation to Nominal Design Opt.

- The desired response $\mathbf{R}^* \in \mathfrak{R}^r$ is expressed in terms of design specifications or design goals
- The problem of circuit design can be formulated as

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in X} U(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}))$$

where \mathbf{x}^* is the optimal design, X is the feasible region, U is a suitable objective function, and hopefully $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{R}^*$

- In general, the above problem corresponds to a constrained nonlinear programming problem
- If the same circuit model $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x})$ is used during optimization, a simpler notation can be used,

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in X} U(\mathbf{x})$$

Dr. J. E. Rayas-Sánchez

7

The Objective Function U

- U is typically a combination of multiple objectives with conflicting criteria
- When designing electronic circuits,
 - inequality design specifications are usually incorporated in a minimax formulation
 - equality design specifications are either treated as equality constraints, or they are incorporated in a minimax formulation
 - box constraints are usually either neglected or incorporated through variable transformations

Dr. J. E. Rayas-Sánchez

8

Minimax Formulation to Design Optimization

- An error function $e_k(\mathbf{x})$ is defined for each upper and/or lower specification for each response and independent variable sample (frequency, time, temperature, etc.)
- Each equality specification can be transformed to a couple of upper and lower specifications
- The minimax formulation to design optimization with no box constraints is

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in X} U(\mathbf{x}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \max \{ \dots e_k(\mathbf{x}) \dots \}$$

where a negative value in the k -th error function, $e_k(\mathbf{x})$, implies that the corresponding design specification is satisfied, otherwise it is violated

Minimax Formulation to Design Opt. (cont)

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} \max \{ \dots e_k(\mathbf{x}) \dots \}$$

where

$$e_k(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{R_k(\mathbf{x})}{S_k^{\text{ub}} + \varepsilon} - 1 & \text{for all } k \in I^{\text{ub}} \\ 1 - \frac{R_k(\mathbf{x})}{S_k^{\text{lb}} + \varepsilon} & \text{for all } k \in I^{\text{lb}} \\ \frac{|R_k(\mathbf{x}) - S_k^{\text{eq}}|}{\varepsilon} - 1 & \text{for all } k \in I^{\text{eq}} \end{cases}$$

- $R_k(\mathbf{x})$ is the k -th model response at point \mathbf{x}
- $S_k^{\text{ub}} > 0$ and $S_k^{\text{lb}} > 0$ are upper and lower bound specifications, and S_k^{eq} are equality specifications
- I^{ub} , I^{lb} and I^{eq} are index sets (not necessarily disjoint)
- ε is an arbitrary small positive number

Minimax Formulation to Design Opt. (cont)

- A minimax formulation attempts making all errors as negative as possible
- Since

$$U(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{\dots e_k(\mathbf{x})\dots\}$$

if $U(\mathbf{x}^*) < 0$, the optimal solution found satisfies all the specifications

if $U(\mathbf{x}^*) \geq 0$, at least one of the design specifications is being violated at the optimal solution found

Constrained Formulation to Design Optimization

Constrained formulation with no box constraints

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in X} U(\mathbf{x}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} u(\mathbf{x}) + p(\mathbf{x}, r^h, r^g)$$

where

$u(\mathbf{x})$ includes the main unbounded design goals (if available),

$p(\mathbf{x}, r^h, r^g)$ includes the equality and inequality design specifications (or constraints) through penalty functions,

$$p(\mathbf{x}, r^h, r^g) = r^h \|\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 + r^g \|\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2$$

$$G_j = \max\{0, g_j(\mathbf{x})\} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, I$$

and r^h and r^g are penalty coefficients

Constrained Formulation to Design Opt. (cont)

Since $p(\mathbf{x}, r^h, r^g)$ includes all the equality and inequality design specifications, and

$$p(\mathbf{x}, r^h, r^g) = r^h \|\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 + r^g \|\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2$$

if $p(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ the optimal solution found satisfies all the design specifications (or constraints)

if $p(\mathbf{x}^*) > 0$ at least one design specification or constraint is being violated at the optimal solution found

Mixed Formulation to Design Optimization

Constrained formulation with no box constraints

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in X} U(\mathbf{x}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} u(\mathbf{x}) + r^v v(\mathbf{x}) + r^h \|\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2$$

where

$u(\mathbf{x})$ includes the main unbounded design goals (if available),

$v(\mathbf{x})$ includes the inequality design specifications through a minimax formulation,

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{\dots e_k(\mathbf{x})\dots\}$$

$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})$ includes the equality design specifications through a penalty function

and r^v and r^h are used as scaling factors

Minimizing U with Classical Opt. Methods

- “Classical” or “conventional” methods to solve

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} U(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}))$$

include Line Search and Trust Region strategies, based on methods such as Conjugate Gradient, Newton and Quasi-Newton, etc.

- Methods that use only function evaluations are more suitable for problems that are very nonlinear or have many discontinuities (Search Methods)
- Methods that use derivative information are more effective when the function is continuous in the first (and second) derivatives (Gradient Methods)